

Complaint #26-12

Petitioner(s): Aniyah Hubbard

Respondent(s): Joshua Sambrano and Lundan Sherrod

The following allegations were filed Feb 18, 2026, 3:47 PM:

- **Article 4, Section 1, Clause 2:** "Active campaigning" or a "direct solicitation of votes" is defined as an attempt to obtain votes through personal communication or contact.
- **Article 4, Section 1, Clause 3:** "Non-active campaigning" or "indirect solicitation of votes" is defined as an intentional attempt to obtain votes through materials that can be seen or heard by potential voters.
- **Article 7, Section 2, Clause 7:** Class D violations apply when a candidate who is already suspended from campaigning due to a previous violation engages in any form of campaigning during the imposed suspension period. The Election Commissioner has the authority to immediately disqualify the candidate from the election.

Decision [02/20/26]: Petitioner's complaint **DOES NOT HAVE MERIT** and **DOES NOT** a violation of the Election Code.

Conclusion: The increase in the "following" count on a campaign social media account does not constitute "any form of campaigning" as defined by the Election Code. Following an account is a passive administrative function of social media management rather than an intentional solicitation of votes or a mass advertisement. Without explicit evidence of direct messaging or the publication of new campaign materials during the suspension period, the mere fluctuation of account metrics is insufficient to trigger a Class D violation or disqualification.

Sanction: None.

Appeals: n/a

Zainab Khimji

Election Commissioner

February 20 2026